arXiv:0811.0144v3 [math.RA] 20 Jun 2010

Formulae of Total Reduction for Linear Systems of First Order Operator Equations

Branko Malešević [a], **Dragana Todorić** [b], **Ivana Jovović** [a], **Sonja Telebaković** [b]

[a] *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*
[b] *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*

Abstract

In this paper we consider a reduction of a non-homogeneous linear system of first order operator equations to a totally reduced system, derived by using the characteristic polynomial of the system matrix. Variables in the new system are separated by higher order linear operator equations.

Key words: Linear system of first order operator equations with constant coefficients, n^{th} order linear operator equation with constant coefficients, the characteristic polynomial, sum of principal minors, generalized Cramer's rule

---

1. Introduction

Let K be a field, V a vector space over K and let $A : V \longrightarrow V$ be a linear operator. **Linear system of first order A-operator equations with constant coefficients in unknowns x_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$,** is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(x_1) = b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + \ldots + b_{1n}x_n + \varphi_1 \\ A(x_2) = b_{21}x_1 + b_{22}x_2 + \ldots + b_{2n}x_n + \varphi_2 \\ \qquad \vdots \\ A(x_n) = b_{n1}x_1 + b_{n2}x_2 + \ldots + b_{nn}x_n + \varphi_n \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

for $b_{ij} \in K$ and $\varphi_i \in V$. We say that $B = [b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{n} \in K^{n \times n}$ is **the system matrix**, and $\vec{\varphi} = [\varphi_1 \ \ldots \ \varphi_n]^T \in V^{n \times 1}$ is **the free column**. If $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{0}$ system (1) is called homogeneous. Otherwise it is called non-homogeneous.

Let $\vec{x} = [x_1 \ \ldots \ x_n]^T$ be a column of unknowns and $\vec{A} : V^{n \times 1} \longrightarrow V^{n \times 1}$ be a vector operator defined componentwise $\vec{A}(\vec{x}) = [A(x_1) \ \ldots \ A(x_n)]^T$. Then system (1) can be written in the following vector form:

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + \vec{\varphi}. \tag{2}$$

A solution of vector equation (2) is any column $\vec{v} \in V^{n \times 1}$ which satisfies (2).

Email addresses: `malesevic@etf.rs` (**Branko Malešević**), `draganat@matf.bg.ac.rs` (**Dragana Todorić**), `ivana@etf.rs` (**Ivana Jovović**), `sonjat@matf.bg.ac.rs` (**Sonja Telebaković**).

Preprint submitted to arXiv.org *22 June 2010*

Powers of operator A are defined as usual $A^i = A^{i-1} \circ A$ assuming that $A^0 = I : V \longrightarrow V$ is the identity operator. By $\boldsymbol{n^{th}}$ **order linear *A*-operator equation with constant coefficients, in unknown *x***, we mean:

$$A^n(x) + b_1 A^{n-1}(x) + \ldots + b_n I(x) = \varphi, \tag{3}$$

where $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in K$ are coefficients and φ is non-homogeneous term. If $\varphi = 0$ equation (3) is called homogeneous. Otherwise it is non-homogeneous. A solution of equation (3) is any vector $v \in V$ which satisfies (3).

2. Notation

Let $M \in K^{n\times n}$ be an n-square matrix. We denote by:

(δ_k) $\delta_k = \delta_k(M)$ the sum of its principal minors of order k $(1 \le k \le n)$,

(δ_k^i) $\delta_k^i = \delta_k^i(M)$ the sum of its principal minors of order k containing i^{th} column $(1 \le i, k \le n)$.

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be elements of K. We write $M^i(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in K^{n\times n}$ for the matrix obtained by substituting $\vec{v} = [v_1 \ \ldots \ v_n]^T$ in place of i^{th} column of M. Furthermore, it is convenient to use:

$$\delta_k^i(M; \vec{v}) = \delta_k^i(M; v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \delta_k^i(M^i(v_1, \ldots, v_n)). \tag{4}$$

The characteristic polynomial $\Delta_B(\lambda)$ of the matrix $B \in K^{n\times n}$ has the form

$$\Delta_B(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - B) = \lambda^n + d_1\lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + d_{n-1}\lambda + d_n,$$

where $d_k = (-1)^k \delta_k(B)$, $1 \le k \le n$; see [**3**, p. 78].

Denote by $\bar{B}(\lambda)$ the adjoint matrix of $\lambda I - B$ and let $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{n-2}, B_{n-1}$ be n-square matrices over K determined by $\bar{B}(\lambda) = \operatorname{adj}(\lambda I - B) = \lambda^{n-1}B_0 + \lambda^{n-2}B_1 + \ldots + \lambda B_{n-2} + B_{n-1}$.

Recall that $(\lambda I - B)\bar{B}(\lambda) = \Delta_B(\lambda) I = \bar{B}(\lambda)(\lambda I - B)$.

The recurrence $B_0 = I$; $\quad B_k = B_{k-1}B + d_k I \quad$ for $\quad 1 \le k < n$, follows from the equation $\bar{B}(\lambda)(\lambda I - B) = \Delta_B(\lambda) I$, see [**3**, p. 91].

3. Main Results

The primary purpose of our paper is to reduce initial system (2) to a system of higher order linear A-operator equations, called **totally reduced system**. It has separated variables and symmetrical form.

The following theorem will be useful in proving our main result.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that the linear system of first order A-operator equations is given in the form* (2), $\vec{A}(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x} + \vec{\varphi}$, *and that matrices* $B_0, \ldots, B_{n-1}$ *are coefficients of the matrix polynomial* $\bar{B}(\lambda) = \operatorname{adj}(\lambda I - B)$. *Then it holds*:

$$\big(\Delta_B(\vec{A})\big)(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{k-1}\big(\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big). \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $L_B : V^{n\times 1} \longrightarrow V^{n\times 1}$ be a linear operator defined by $L_B(\vec{x}) = B\vec{x}$. Replacing λI by $\vec{A}$ in the equation $\Delta_B(\lambda) I = \bar{B}(\lambda)(\lambda I - B)$ we obtain

$$\Delta_B(\vec{A}) = \bar{B}(\vec{A}) \circ (\vec{A} - L_B), \quad \text{hence}$$

$$\Delta_B(\vec{A})(\vec{x}) = \bar{B}(\vec{A})\big((\vec{A} - L_B)(\vec{x})\big) = \bar{B}(\vec{A})\big(\vec{A}(\vec{x}) - B\vec{x}\big) \underset{(2)}{=} \bar{B}(\vec{A})(\vec{\varphi}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{k-1}\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi}). \ \Box$$

In addition to the notation given in relation (4), we also use $\vec{\delta}_k(B; \vec{v}) = [\ \delta_k^1(B; \vec{v}) \ \ \ldots \ \ \delta_k^n(B; \vec{v})\ ]^T$.

Lemma 3.2 *Using the above mentioned notation and assuming* $[v_1 \; \ldots \; v_n]^T \in K^{n\times 1}$, *we have*:

$$\delta_k^i\big(B; \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{1j}v_j, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{nj}v_j\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; v_1, \ldots, v_n\big) = \delta_k(B)\cdot v_i. \tag{6}$$

Remark 3.3 The previous result can be described by:

$$\delta_k^i\big(B; B\vec{v}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{v}\big) = \delta_k(B)\cdot v_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \tag{7}$$

or simply by the following vector equation:

$$\vec{\delta}_k\big(B; B\vec{v}\big) + \vec{\delta}_{k+1}\big(B; \vec{v}\big) = \delta_k(B)\cdot \vec{v}. \tag{8}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $\vec{e}_s \in K^{n\times 1}$ denote the column whose only nonzero entry is 1 in s^{th} position. We also write $B_{\downarrow s}$ for s^{th} column of the matrix B and $[B]_{\hat{s}}$ for a square matrix of order $n-1$ obtained from B by deleting its s^{th} column and row. According to the notation used in (4), let $[B^i(B_{\downarrow s})]_{\hat{s}}$ stand for the matrix of order $n-1$ obtained from B by substituting s^{th} column $B_{\downarrow s}$ in place of i^{th} column, and then by deleting s^{th} column and s^{th} row of the new matrix. By applying linearity of $\vec{\delta}_k\big(B; \vec{v}\big)$ with respect to $\vec{v}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_k^i\big(B; B\vec{v}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{v}\big) &= \delta_k^i\big(B; \sum_{s=1}^{n} v_s B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \sum_{s=1}^{n} v_s \vec{e}_s\big) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n} v_s \delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \sum_{s=1}^{n} v_s \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} v_s\big(\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big)\big) \\
&= \; v_i\big(\, \delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow i}\big) \;+\; \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_i\big)\,\big) + \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i}}^{n} v_s\big(\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

First, we compute $v_i\big(\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow i}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_i\big)\big) = v_i\big(\delta_k^i\big(B\big) + \delta_k\big([B]_{\hat{i}}\big)\big) = v_i \delta_k\big(B\big)$.

Then, it remains to show that $\displaystyle\sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i}}^{n} v_s\big(\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big)\big) = 0$.

It suffices to prove that each term in the sum is zero, i.e. $\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) + \delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big) = 0$, for $s \neq i$. Suppose $s \neq i$. We now consider minors in the sum $\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big)$. All of them containing s^{th} column are equal to zero, so we deduce

$$\delta_k^i\big(B; B_{\downarrow s}\big) = \delta_k^i\big(B^i(B_{\downarrow s})\big) = \delta_k^i\big([B^i(B_{\downarrow s})]_{\hat{s}}\big).$$

If $s \neq i$, then each minor in the sum $\delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big)$ necessarily contains i^{th} column and s^{th} row. By interchanging i^{th} and s^{th} column we multiply each minor by -1. We now proceed by expanding these minors along s^{th} column to obtain -1 times the corresponding k^{th} order principal minors of matrix $B^i(B_{\downarrow s})$ which do not include s^{th} column. Hence $\delta_{k+1}^i\big(B; \vec{e}_s\big) = -\delta_k^i\big([B^i(B_{\downarrow s})]_{\hat{s}}\big)$ and the proof is complete. □

In the following lemma we give some interesting correspondence between coefficients B_k of the matrix polynomial $\bar{B}(\lambda) = \mathrm{adj}(\lambda I - B)$ and sums of principal minors $\vec{\delta}_{k+1}\big(B; \vec{v}\big)$, $0 \le k < n$.

Lemma 3.4 *Given an arbitrary column* $[v_1\ \dots\ v_n]^T \in K^{n\times 1}$, *it holds*:

$$B_k\cdot\vec{v}=B_k\cdot\begin{bmatrix}v_1\\ v_2\\ \vdots\\ v_n\end{bmatrix}=(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix}\delta^1_{k+1}(B;v_1,\dots,v_n)\\ \delta^2_{k+1}(B;v_1,\dots,v_n)\\ \vdots\\ \delta^n_{k+1}(B;v_1,\dots,v_n)\end{bmatrix}=(-1)^k\vec{\delta}_{k+1}\big(B;\vec{v}\big). \tag{9}$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on k. It is being obvious for $k=0$. Assume, as induction hypothesis (IH), that the statement is true for $k-1$. Multiplying the right side of the equation $B_k = B_{k-1}B + d_k I$, by the vector $\vec{v}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}B_k\vec{v} &= B_{k-1}(B\vec{v})+d_k\vec{v} \underset{\text{(IH)}}{=} (-1)^{k-1}\vec{\delta}_k\big(B;B\vec{v}\big)+d_k\vec{v}\\ &= (-1)^{k-1}\big(\vec{\delta}_k\big(B;B\vec{v}\big)-\delta_k\vec{v}\big) \underset{(8)}{=} (-1)^k\vec{\delta}_{k+1}\big(B;\vec{v}\big).\ \Box\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5 The preceding Lemma 3.4 seems to have an independent application. Taking $\vec{v}=\vec{e}_j,\ 1\le j\le n$, we prove formulae (8) – (10) given by [**1**].

Theorem 3.6 (*The main theorem for totally reduced systems – vector form*) *Linear system of first order A-operator equations* (2) *can be reduced to the system of* n^{th} *order A-operator equations*:

$$\big(\Delta_B\big(\vec{A}\big)\big)(\vec{x})=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\vec{\delta}_k\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big). \tag{10}$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.4:

$$\Delta_B\big(\vec{A}\big)(\vec{x}) \underset{(5)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{k-1}\big(\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big) \underset{(9)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\vec{\delta}_k\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big).\ \Box$$

We can now rephrase the previous Theorem as follows.

Theorem 3.7 (*The main theorem for totally reduced systems*) *Linear system of first order A-operator equations* (1) *implies the system, which consists of* n^{th} *order A-operator equations*:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\big(\Delta_B(A)\big)(x_1)=\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\delta^1_k\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big)\\ \vdots\\ \big(\Delta_B(A)\big)(x_i)=\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\delta^i_k\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big)\\ \vdots\\ \big(\Delta_B(A)\big)(x_n)=\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\delta^n_k\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big)\end{array}\right\}. \tag{11}$$

Corollary 3.8 *If we take* $A=0$, *Theorem* 3.7 *is equivalent to Cramer's rule.*

Remark 3.9 System (11) has separated variables and it is called **totally reduced**. This system consists of n^{th} order linear A-operator equations which differ only in variables and in the non-homogeneous terms.

Remark 3.10 Formulae of total and partial reduction [**7**] could be of interest in the theory of linear control systems [**2**] and also in problems concerning systems invariants under the action of the general linear group $GL(n,K)$, see [**4**] and [**5**].

Applications

$\mathbf{1^0}$ Assume that A is the differential operator on the vector space of real functions. Let us consider system (1) with initial conditions $x_i(t_0)=c_i,\ 1\leq i\leq n$. The Cauchy problem for system (1) has a unique solution. Using form (2), we obtain additional $n-1$ initial conditions of i^{th} equation in system (11):

$$\big(A^j(x_i)\big)(t_0)=\big[B^j\cdot\vec{x}(t_0)\big]_i+\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\big[B^{j-1-k}\cdot\vec{A}^k(\vec{\varphi})(t_0)\big]_i,\quad 1\leq j\leq n-1, \tag{12}$$

where $[\ ]_i$ denotes i^{th} coordinate. Then each equation in system (11) has a unique solution under given conditions and additional conditions (12), and these solutions form a unique solution to system (1). Therefore, formulae (11) can be used for solving systems of differential equations.

Let us emphasize that we can extend this consideration to systems of difference equations and whenever the above method can be generalized.

$\mathbf{2^0}$ Now suppose that V is the vector space of meromorphic functions over the complex field and that A is a differential operator, i.e. $A(w)=\frac{d}{dz}(w)$. Let us consider system (1) under these assumptions. Recall that a function $w\in V$ is **differentially transcendental** if it does not satisfy any algebraic differential equation. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11 *Let φ_j be the only differentially transcendental component of the free column $\vec{\varphi}$. Then for any solution $\vec{x}$ of system* (1)*, corresponding entry x_j is also differentially transcendental function.*

Proof. We can see that the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\delta_k^j\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big)$ is a differentially transcendental function. According to Theorem 2.8 proved by **[6]**, the following equation:

$$\Delta_B(A)(x_j)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\delta_k^j\big(B;\vec{A}^{n-k}(\vec{\varphi})\big),$$

yields that x_j is a differentially transcendental function too. $\square$

4. Examples

Finally, we can illustrate our results with two special cases of $n=2$ and $n=3$.

Example 4.1 Consider the linear system of the first order A-operator equations, in unknowns x_1,x_2:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} A(x_1)=b_{11}x_1+b_{12}x_2+\varphi_1 \\[2ex] A(x_2)=b_{21}x_1+b_{22}x_2+\varphi_2 \end{array}\right\}. \tag{13}$$

Applying Theorem 3.7 the totally reduced system of system (13) has the form:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rl} \Delta_B(A)(x_1) & =A^2(x_1)-\delta_1A(x_1)+\delta_2I(x_1) \\ & =\begin{vmatrix}\boxed{A(\varphi_1)} & b_{12}\\ A(\varphi_2) & b_{22}\end{vmatrix}-\begin{vmatrix}\boxed{\begin{matrix}\varphi_1 & b_{12}\\ \varphi_2 & b_{22}\end{matrix}}\end{vmatrix} \\[4ex] \Delta_B(A)(x_2) & =A^2(x_2)-\delta_1A(x_2)+\delta_2I(x_2) \\ & =\begin{vmatrix}b_{11} & A(\varphi_1)\\ b_{21} & \boxed{A(\varphi_2)}\end{vmatrix}-\begin{vmatrix}\boxed{\begin{matrix}b_{11} & \varphi_1\\ b_{21} & \varphi_2\end{matrix}}\end{vmatrix} \end{array}\right\},$$

where we take into consideration only framed minors.

Example 4.2 Consider the linear system of the first order A-operator equations, in unknowns x_1, x_2, x_3:

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} A(x_1) &= b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + b_{13}x_3 + \varphi_1 \\ A(x_2) &= b_{21}x_1 + b_{22}x_2 + b_{23}x_3 + \varphi_2 \\ A(x_3) &= b_{31}x_1 + b_{32}x_2 + b_{33}x_3 + \varphi_3 \end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{14}$$

Applying Theorem 3.7 the totally reduced system of system (14) has the form:

$$\left\{\begin{aligned}
\Delta_B(A)(x_1) &= A^3(x_1) - \delta_1 A^2(x_1) + \delta_2 A(x_1) - \delta_3 I(x_1) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{A^2(\varphi_1)} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ A^2(\varphi_2) & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ A^2(\varphi_3) & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} A(\varphi_1) & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ A(\varphi_2) & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ A(\varphi_3) & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{vmatrix} + \left|\boxed{\begin{matrix} \varphi_1 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ \varphi_2 & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ \varphi_3 & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{matrix}}\right| \\
\Delta_B(A)(x_2) &= A^3(x_2) - \delta_1 A^2(x_2) + \delta_2 A(x_2) - \delta_3 I(x_2) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & A^2(\varphi_1) & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & \boxed{A^2(\varphi_2)} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & A^2(\varphi_3) & b_{33} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & A(\varphi_1) & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & A(\varphi_2) & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & A(\varphi_3) & b_{33} \end{vmatrix} + \left|\boxed{\begin{matrix} b_{11} & \varphi_1 & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & \varphi_2 & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & \varphi_3 & b_{33} \end{matrix}}\right| \\
\Delta_B(A)(x_3) &= A^3(x_1) - \delta_1 A^2(x_3) + \delta_2 A(x_3) - \delta_3 I(x_3) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & A^2(\varphi_1) \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & A^2(\varphi_2) \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & \boxed{A^2(\varphi_3)} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & A(\varphi_1) \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & A(\varphi_2) \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & A(\varphi_3) \end{vmatrix} + \left|\boxed{\begin{matrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \varphi_1 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \varphi_2 \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & \varphi_3 \end{matrix}}\right|
\end{aligned}\right\},$$

where we calculate only sums of the framed minors.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments. Research is partially supported by the MNTRS, Serbia, Grant No. 144020.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Downs, *Some Properties of the Souriau-Frame Algorithm with Application to the Inversion of Rational Matrices*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 28 (2) (1975) 237-251.

[2] T. Kailath, *Linear Systems*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980.

[3] Ф.Р. Гантмахер, *Теория матриц*, издание четвертое, Наука, Москва, 1988.

[4] I. Baragaña, V. Fernández, I. Zaballa, *Linear Systems with Prescribed Similarity Structural Invariants*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 38 (4) (2000) 1033-1049.

[5] A. Amparan, S. Marcaida, I. Zaballa, *On the existence of linear systems with prescribed invariants for system similarity*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 413, (2006), 510-533. (Special Issue on the 11^{th} Conference of the International Linear Algebra Society, Coimbra, 2004.)

[6] Ž. Mijajlović, B. Malešević, *Differentially transcendental functions*, Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society–Simon Stevin 15 (2) (2008) 193-201.

[7] B. Malešević, D. Todorić, I. Jovović, S. Telebaković, *Formulae of Partial Reduction for Linear Systems of First Order Operator Equations* (to appear) 1-7.